

Published on Web 12/02/2009

Reactions of Ruthenium Cp Phosphine Complex with 4,4-Disubstituted-1,6-Enynes: Effect of Methyl Substituents in the Olefinic Fraction

Chia-Pei Chung, Chien-Chih Chen, Ying-Chih Lin,* Yi-Hong Liu, and Yu Wang Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 106, Republic of China Received August 10, 2009; E-mail: yclin@ntu.edu.tw

Abstract: We studied chemical reactions of Cp(PPh₃)₂RuCl with nine 1,6-enyne compounds (1-4, 8, 12, 19, 21, and 22) in which the triple bond is associated with propargylic alcohol and the olefinic group has various substituted methyl groups. For the enyne compounds 1-3 with no substituted methyl group, the reaction takes place at the propargylic alcohol first giving the allenylidene complex 6 which could undergo a skeletal rearrangement to yield the disubstituted vinylidene complex 7. By changing the propargylic alcohol to propargylic ether, the reaction gives the carbene complex 10 as the major product and the butadiene complex 9 by a cyclization reaction as the minor product. For enyne 12 with two methyl groups at the terminal carbon of the olefinic part, formation of either of the carbone complexes 15 and 16 with a substituted cyclopentenyl ring at C α or the vinylidene complex 17 is controlled by the use of solvent. For the formation of **15** and **16**, a C–C bond-forming cyclization reaction is proposed to occur at C β in an intermediate where the triple bond is π -coordinated. However, for the vinylidene intermediate, the reaction may proceed by the formation of the allenylidene, which undergoes a retro-ene reaction to bring about cleavage of the dimethyl substituted allyl group giving 17. For two envnes 21 and 22 where each olefinic portion is internally substituted with one methyl group, two vinylidene complexes 23 and 24 each with a five-membered ring bonded at C β are isolated. The reaction proceeds via formation of an allenylidene intermediate followed by a cyclization at C_{γ} . Stabilization of the cationic charge by the presence of methyl subsituents clearly controls the reaction pathway to give different products. These chemical reactions and their mechanisms are corroborated by structure determinations of five ruthenium complexes using single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.

Introduction

Reactions of enynes catalyzed by electrophilic late-transition metal complexes have attracted much attention because a variety of products can be obtained from fairly simple substrates under mild conditions.¹ Various metal vinylidene and allenylidene complexes have been proven to be key intermediates for many alkyne transformations.² These have contributed to the rational design of new catalytic reactions. As a result of the compatibility and the utilization of very diverse precursors, the metal-catalyzed cycloisomerization of enyne systems has recently expanded on synthetically versatile developments, including notably applications in the total synthesis of natural products.³ Metal-catalyzed cycloisomerization of enynes often leads to various skeletal rearrangements because "nonclassical" cations may participate as reaction intermediates.⁴ For example, transition-metal cata-

lyzed cycloisomerization of 1,6-enynes represents an efficient strategy for a variety of five-membered and six-membered alkenes and dienes.⁵ Recently, the ruthenium-catalyzed carbon-carbon forming reactions between propargylic alcohol and alkenes, which were explained reasonably by proposing a concerted ruthenium-allenylidene-ene reaction process, were reported. However, only phenyl propargylic alcohol derivatives could be employed as starting materials.⁶ We have recently described the development of ruthenium-mediated isomerizations of 1,5-enynes to furnish another type of 1,5-enynes and cycloisomerizations to produce alkoxycyclohexenes in the presence of alcohol. This process was supported by the

 ⁽a) Chatani, N.; Kataoka, K.; Murai, S.; Furukawa, N.; Seki, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 9104–9105. (b) Trost, B. M.; Doherty, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3801–3810. (c) Méndez, M.; Munöz, M. P.; Nevado, C.; Cádenas, D. J.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10511–10520. (d) Martin-Matute, B.; Nevado, C.; Cardenas, D. J.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5757–5766. (e) Ma, S.; Yu, S.; Gu, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 200–203. (f) Zhang, L.; Sun, J.; Kozmin, S. A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2271–2296.

^{(2) (}a) Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 311–323.
(b) Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2176–2203. (c) Trost, B. M.; McClory, A. Chem. – Asian J. 2008, 3, 164–194.

^{(3) (}a) Fürstner, A.; Szillat, H.; Gabor, B.; Mynott, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8305–8314. (b) Fürstner, A.; Stelzer, F.; Szillat, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11863–11869. (c) Charruault, L.; Michelet, V.; Taras, R.; Gladiali, S.; Genêt, J.-P. Chem. Commun. 2004, 850–851. (d) Amijs, C. H. M.; Ferrer, C.; Echavarren, A. M. Chem. Commun. 2007, 698–700. (e) Lloyd-Jones, G. C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 215–236. (f) Bruneau, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2328–2334.

^{(4) (}a) Ojima, I.; Tzamarioudaki, M.; Li, Z.; Donovan, R. J. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 635–662. (b) Trost, B. M.; Krische, M. J. Synlett 1998, 1–16. (c) Oi, S.; Tsukamoto, I.; Miyano, S.; Inoue, Y. Organometallics 2001, 20, 3704–3709. (d) Aubert, C.; Buisine, O.; Malacria, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 813–834. (e) Michelet, V.; Toullec, P. Y.; Genêt, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4268–4315. (f) Zhang, Z.; Zhu, G.; Tong, X.; Wang, F.; Xie, X.; Wang, J.; Jiang, L. Curr. Chem. 2006, 10, 1457–1478. (g) Nieto-Oberhuber, C.; Muñoz, M. P.; López, S.; Jiménez-Núñez, E.; Nevado, C.; Herrero-Gómez, E.; Raducan, M.; Echavarren, A. M. Chem.–Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1677–1693.

ruthenium-mediated skeletal rearrangement via an unusual mechanism involving a formal metathesis process of the terminal vinyl group with the C=C of the vinylidene group.⁷

As an extension of our previous study, we have now envisaged to develop the ruthenium-mediated reactions of 1,6propargyl enynes affording the corresponding skeletal rearrangement and cycloisomerization complexes with an excellent yield. Here, following our previous observation, the reactions are expected to occur between $C\alpha - C\beta$ or $C\beta - C\gamma$ and the terminal allyl group. The present paper describes successful results of these novel reactions via rearrangement from allenylidene intermediates and π -coordinated species by controlling the methyl-substituted-olefinic group of substrates and reaction conditions.

Results and Discussion

Reactions of Enynes with No Methyl Group on the Olefinic Part. Modification of the original preparative procedure of ruthenium vinylidene complexes tethering terminal vinyl group at $C\gamma^7$ has allowed the synthesis of a number of cationic γ -hydroxyvinylidene⁸⁻¹⁰ ruthenium complexes from [Ru]Cl ([Ru] = Cp(PPh_3)_2Ru). For example, treatment of [Ru]Cl with 1,6-enyne **1**, a 4,4-diphenylsubstituted propargylic alcohol tethering a terminal allyl moiety also at C₄, in the presence of NH₄PF₆ in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature in 6 h afforded the cationic γ -hydroxyvinylidene complex **5a** in good yield (Scheme 1). Other γ -hydroxyvinylidene ruthenium complexes **5b**-**d** were similarly prepared form **2**–**4**, respectively.

When left for 1 day in CHCl₃ solution at room temperature, the vinylidene complexes $5\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ underwent a slow but spontaneous dehydration to give the allenylidene complexes $6\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ all with the distinctive dark green color. These allenylidene

- (5) (a) Nevado, C.; Cárdenas, D. J.; Echavarren, A. M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2003, 9, 2627–2635. (b) Diver, S. T.; Giessert, A. J. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 1317–1382. (c) Madhushaw, R. J.; Lo, C. Y.; Hwang, C. W.; Su, M. D.; Shen, H. C.; Pal, S.; Shaikh, I. R.; Liu, R. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15560–15565. (d) Nieto-Oberhuber, C.; López, S.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6178–6179. (e) Lin, M. Y.; Das, A.; Liu, R. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9340–9341. (f) Bartolomé, C.; Ramiro, Z.; Pérez-Galán, P.; Bour, C.; Raducan, M.; Echavarren, A. M.; Espinet, P. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 11391–11397. (g) Jiménez-Núñez, E.; Claverie, C. K.; Bour, C.; Cárdenas, D. J.; Echavarren, A. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7892–7895.
- (6) (a) Nishibayashi, Y.; Inada, Y.; Hidai, M.; Uemura, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6060–6061. (b) Nishibayashi, Y.; Yoshikawa, M.; Inada, Y.; Hidai, M.; Uemura, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 16066–16072. (c) Daini, M.; Yoshikawa, M.; Inada, Y.; Uemura, S.; Sakata, K.; Kanao, K.; Miyake, Y.; Nishibayashi, Y. Organometallics 2008, 27, 2046–2051. (d) Fukamizu, K.; Miyake, Y.; Nishibayashi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10498–10499. (e) Yamauchi, Y.; Miyake, Y.; Nishibayashi, Y. Organometallics 2009, 28, 48–50. (f) Sakata, K.; Miyake, Y.; Nishibayashi, Y. Organometallics 2009, 4, 81–88.
- (7) (a) Yen, Y. S.; Lin, Y. C.; Huang, S. L.; Liu, Y. H.; Sung, H. L.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 18037–18045. (b) Cheng, C. W.; Kuo, Y. C.; Chang, S. H.; Lin, Y. C.; Liu, Y. H.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14974–14980.
- (8) (a) de los Ríos, I.; Tenorio, M. J.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 549, 221–232. (b) Bruce, M. I. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 2797–2858. (c) Touchard, D.; Dixneuf, P. H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 178–180, 409–429. (d) Cadierno, V.; Gimeno, J. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 3512–3560.
- (9) (a) Asensio, A.; Buil, M. L.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Onate, E. Organometallics 2004, 23, 5787–5798. (b) Bustelo, E.; Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 1999, 18, 950–951. (c) Le Lagadec, R.; Roman, E.; Toupet, L.; Muller, U.; Dixneuf, P. H. Organometallics 1994, 13, 5030–5039. (d) Wu, C. Y.; Chou, H. H.; Lin, Y. C.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Y. H. Chem.–Eur. J. 2009, 15, 3221–3229.
- (10) Demerseman, B.; Toupet, L. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 1573-1581.

Scheme 1



complexes **6a**–**c** were obtained with moderate yields and could be characterized by ³¹P and ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Typical ¹H resonance of C γ –H of the allenylidene ligand is more downfield than that of C β –H of the corresponding vinylidene precursor. For example, the ¹H NMR spectrum of **5a** consist of two doublet resonances at δ 4.45 and δ 5.44 with ³J_{HH} = 8.15 Hz assigned to C β –H and C γ –H respectively, and two doublet resonances at δ 42.42 and δ 44.35 with ²J_{PP} = 26.13 Hz in the ³¹P NMR spectrum. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **6a** displays a singlet resonance at δ 9.08 assigned to C γ –H and a singlet signal appears at δ 45.69 in the ³¹P NMR spectrum.

The dehydration reactions of **5** at room temperature are slow. These reactions are speeded up when heated. However, the conditions to induce dehydration of **5** stimulate a new interesting skeletal rearrangement between the allenylidene ligand and the pending allylic group, see Scheme 1. The rearrangement takes place when a solution of **6a** in chloroform was heated at 60 °C for 3 h, leading to the disubstituted vinylidene complex **7a** as the only product. Heating complex **5a** in CHCl₃ at 60 °C for 6 h also generated **6a** and subsequently led to the allyl migration product **7a** in good yield (Scheme 1). Complexes **5b** and **5c** also show the same reactivity to yield the corresponding products **7b** and **7c**.

Transformation of **5a** to **7a** is a result of migration of terminal allylic group to the allenylidene $C\beta$ which could proceed via either a direct 1,3-allyl shift¹¹ or Cope rearrangement.¹² To ascertain the mechanism, a deuterium labeling experiment was explored (Scheme 2). Treatment of $1-d_2$ with [Ru]Cl afforded complex **5a**- d_2 . Interestingly, the mixture of **7a'**- d_2 and **7a''**- d_2 were obtained with a ratio of 2:1 from 99% deuterated **5a**- d_2 at 60 °C in CHCl₃ (Figure 1). Obviously, a direct 1,3-allyl shift from C δ to $C\beta$ gave complex **7a'**- d_2 . The Cope rearrangement which involves the interaction between the terminal carbon of

^{(11) (}a) Villemin, D.; Hachemi, M. Synth. Commun. 1995, 25, 2311–2318.
(b) Majumdar, K. C.; Ghosh, M.; Jana, M. Synthesis 2002, 669–673.
(c) Kobbelgaard, S.; Brandes, S.; Jorgensen, K. A. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 1464–1471. (d) Nasveschuk, C. G.; Rovis, T. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 240–254. (e) Çelebi-Ölçüm, N.; Aviyente, V.; Houk, K. N. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6944–6952.

^{(12) (}a) Kuroda, C.; Ueshino, T.; Honda, S.; Suzuki, H. Synlett 2006, 2830–2832. (b) Singh, P.; Bhargava, G.; Mahajan, M. P. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 11267–11273. (c) Miura, T.; Kiyota, K.; Kusama, H.; Iwasawa, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 562–568. (d) Siegwarth, J.; Bornhöft, J.; Näther, C.; Herges, R. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3450–3452. (e) Mauleón, P.; Krinsky, J. L.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4513–4520.



the allylic double bond and $C\beta$ forming a transition state with a six-membered ring yields the product $7a'' - d_2$. At 40 °C, the reaction gave a mixture of $7a' - d_2$ and $7a'' - d_2$ in a ratio of 40:9. The 1,3-allyl shift plays the major role for this transformation. However, as the temperature decreases, the product from the Cope rearrangement decreases.

The bulkier the R_1 and R_2 group, particularly in **6a** and **6b**, the easier the approach of the terminal double bond of the allylic group to $C\beta$ and the reaction would occur more readily. The conjugation in the vinyl-vinylidene ligand may be the driving force for the formation of **7a** and **7b**. Thus, for 1,6-enyne **3**, with one phenyl group replaced by a methyl group, transformation of **5c** with a less bulky vinylidene ligand to **6c** required heating to 60 °C with much longer reaction time (12 h). Subsequently, the allyl migration product **7c** with a cis:trans ratio = 1:10 was obtained.

Structures of air stable complexes 7a-c and the ratio of isomers of 7c have been determined by NMR and NOESY spectroscopy. The solid state structure of 7a was further determined by a single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Single crystals of complex 7a were obtained from acetone/diethyl ether at 5 °C. An ORTEP type view of the cationic complex 7a is shown in Figure 2, with selected bond distances and angles. The complex possesses distorted three-legged piano-stool coordination geometry around the ruthenium center which bound to the Cp group and two PPh₃ ligands and the disubstituted vinylidene group. The bond length of Ru(1)-C(1) in 7a of 1.860(3) Å and the C(1)–C(2) bond length of 1.310(4) Å show a typical Ru=C=C bonding skeletal. The Ru(1)-C(1)-C(2) bond angle of 173.9(2)° also shows almost linear geometry. The bond distances of C(2)-C(3), C(3)-C(4) and C(4)-C(5) are 1.521(4), 1.497(8) and 1.305(11), respectively, confirming that the allylic group is tethering at $C\beta$ of the vinylidene ligand.



Figure 1. Part of the ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture containing $7a'-d_2$ and $7a''-d_2$ obtained from 99% deuterated $5a-d_2$ at 60 °C.



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of the cationic complex **7a**. For clarity, aryl groups of the triphenylphosphine ligands on Ru except the ipso carbons and PF_6^- are omitted (thermal ellipsoid is set at the 50% probability level). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)–C(1), 1.860(3); C(1)–C(2), 1.310(4); C(2)–C(3), 1.521(4); C(3)–C(4), 1.497(8); C(4)–C(5), 1.305(11); C(2)–C(6), 1.479(4); C(6)–C(7), 1.344(4); Ru(1)–C(1)–C(2), 173.9(2); C(1)–C(2)–C(3), 122.1(3); C(1)–C(2)–C(6), 120.0(3); C(2)–C(6)–C(7), 131.2(3); C(6)–C(7)–C(8), 125.5(3); C(6)–C(7)–C(14), 117.5(3); C(3)–C(4)–C(5), 123.2(12).

No rearrangement was observed for complex 5d with two methyl groups at C δ even under forced reaction condition at 60 °C for 48 h. Under NMR monitoring, 50% of complex 5d would undergo dehydration reaction to yield the allenylidene complex 6d after thermal treatment for 1 h at 60 °C. However, $C\gamma$ of the allenylidene complex **6d** was easily reacted with trace of water molecule possibly because of the steric effect and slowly went back to 5d at room temperature. Therefore, no skeletal rearrangement product was observed. Although transition metal promoted sigmatropic rearrangement^{3,13} and Claisenrearrangement of enynes¹⁴ are reported in the literature, the [1,3]-sigmatropic C- to C-rearrangement are still rare, and the allenylidene-ene reaction we described here is the first example of an intramolecular C-C bond formation in a metal complex via the rearrangement. It is known that most of cationic vinylidene complexes react with alcohol to give alkoxycarbene complexes.¹⁵ However, the vinylidene complexes 7a-c containing two PPh₃ ligands are inert to various alcohols even under refluxing condition perhaps due to the electron-rich character and the bulkier fragment of the disubstituted vinylidene ligand.

Interestingly, when 1,6-enyne **8**, with a propargylallylether group, was reacted with [Ru]Cl in the presence of NH_4PF_6 in CH₂Cl₂, typical reaction to form vinylidene complex did not

(14) (a) Clark, D. A.; Kulkarni, A. A.; Kalbarczyk, K.; Schertzer, B.; Diver, S. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15632–15636. (b) Kusama, H.; Onizawa, Y.; Iwasawa, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16500–16501.
(c) Mitzel, T.; Wzorek, J.; Troutman, A.; Allegue, K. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3042–3052. (d) Baskar, B.; Bae, H. J.; An, S. E.; Cheong, J. Y.; Rhee, Y. H.; Duschek, A.; Kirsch, S. F. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2605–2607. (e) Le Nôtre, J.; Brissieux, L.; Sémeril, D.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Commun. 2002, 1772–1773. (f) Le Nôtre, J.; Touzani, R.; Lavastre, O.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 783–791.

^{(13) (}a) Niller, B.; Lin, W.-O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 751–752. (b) Manning, T. D. R.; Kropp, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 889–897. (c) Dimroth, K.; Schaffer, O.; Weiershauser, G. Chem. Ber. 1981, 114, 1752–1766. (d) Padway, A.; Akiba, M.; Cohen, L. A.; Mac-Donald, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 695–703. (e) Back, T. G.; Lai, E. K. Y.; Muralidharan, K. R. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4595–4602. (f) Watson, S. P.; Knox, G. R.; Heron, N. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 9763–9766. (g) Bae, H. J.; Baskar, B.; An, S. E.; Cheong, J. Y.; Thangadurai, D. T.; Hwang, I.-C.; Rhee, Y. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2263–2266.



occur; instead, the η^4 -butadiene complex 9 and the carbene complex 10 (Scheme 3) were obtained in a ratio of 1:5. To separate two products, the mixture containing 9 and 10 was treated with excess NaOMe in methanol. Addition of a methoxy group to $C\gamma$ of the carbene ligand of **10** generated the vinyl complex 11. Then this mixture was passed through a neutral Al₂O₃ column eluted with ether/CH₂Cl₂. Collecting the first and the second bands separately resulted in the yellow vinyl product 11 and the light-yellow butadiene product 9. The reaction through path A was inhibited by performing the reaction in the presence of additional PPh₃ and produced 10 as the only product. Demethoxylation of complex 11 could proceed spontaneously in the presence of NH₄PF₆ salt at 60 °C and reversed back to complex 10. However, when the reaction of 8 with [Ru]Cl was carried out in methanol, the expected vinylidene complex tethering an allyloxy group at $C\gamma$ was not observed; instead, the reaction produced the methoxyvinylidene complex 5e as the only product with no 9 or 10 detected. Formation of 5e proceeds via an allenylidene intermediate by elimination of allyl alcohol followed by addition of methanol. Then under thermal condition in CHCl₃, methanol elimination of 5e giving 6a was followed by the rearrangement mentioned above to yield 7a.

The structures of **9**, **10**, and **11** were determined by NMR spectroscopy and both complexes **9** and **11** were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Complex **9** shows several unusual resonances in its ¹H NMR spectrum.¹⁶ Two doublet resonances at δ 0.16 and 0.05 coupled with the phosphine ligand with ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 17.03$ and 18.50 Hz, respectively, are assigned to two separate terminal methylene protons of the butadiene ligand. Resonances of other two hydrogen atoms of the same terminal methylene groups appear as two singlet peaks at δ 4.10 and 3.94. The two terminal carbons of the butadiene ligand show two doublet resonances at δ 43.41 and 42.24 both with ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 4.65$ Hz in its ¹³C NMR spectrum. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **10**, a characteristic doublet of triplet resonance at



Figure 3. ORTEP drawing of the cationic ruthenium complex **9**. For clarity, aryl groups of the triphenylphosphine ligands on Ru except the ipso carbons and PF_6^- are omitted (thermal ellipsoid is set at the 50% probability level). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)–C(1), 2.210(4); Ru(1)–C(2), 2.196(4); Ru(1)–C(6), 2.193(4); Ru(1)–C(7), 2.207(4); C(1)–C(2), 1.408(5); C(2)–C(6), 1.416(5); C(6)–C(7), 1.395(5); C(1)–C(2)–C(6), 121.7(3); C(2)–C(6)–C(7), 122.1(3).



Figure 4. ORTEP drawing of the ruthenium complex **11**. For clarity, aryl groups of the triphenylphosphine ligands on Ru except the ipso carbons are omitted (thermal ellipsoid is set at the 50% probability level). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)-C(1), 2.087(3); C(1)-C(2), 1.316(4); C(2)-C(3), 1.509(4); Ru(1)-C(2)-C(3), 126.9(2); C(1)-C(2)-C(3), 126.6(3).

δ 15.24 with ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 12.95$ Hz and ${}^{3}J_{\text{PH}} = 9.10$ Hz is assigned to Cα–H and a triplet resonance at δ 7.84 with ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 12.95$ Hz is assigned to Cβ–H. For **11**, because of the stereogenic center at Cγ, the 31 P NMR spectrum shows two doublet resonances at δ 49.06 and 47.29 with ${}^{2}J_{\text{PP}} = 37.51$ Hz. Resonance of Cα–H appears as multiplet resonance at δ 7.82 in the 1 H NMR spectrum.

Single crystals of complexes **9** and **11** are obtained from acetone/methanol/diethylether and acetone, respectively. ORTEP-type views of the cationic complex **9** and the neutral complex **11** are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively, and their selected bond distances and angles are collected. For **9**, the butadiene ligand bonds to the Ru atom via C(1), C(2), C(6), and C(7) adopting an *exo* configuration. A bond formation between C(2) and C(6) with a distance of 1.416(5) Å had occurred between the two alkyne and alkene internal carbon atoms of the 1,6-enyne ligand, forming a coordinated butadiene system with the four Ru–C distances being approximately equal. For **11**, the addition of a methoxy group at C γ forming the neutral vinyl complex is clearly seen. The bond length of Ru–C(1) of **11** is significantly longer than the Ru–C(1) double bond of the

^{(15) (}a) Adams, R. D.; Davison, A.; Selegue, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 7232–7238. (b) Miller, D. C.; Angelici, R. J. Organometallics 1991, 10, 79–89. (c) Cadierno, V.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J.; González-Cueva, M.; Lastra, E.; Borge, J.; García-Granda, S.; Pérez-Carreño, E. Organometallics 1996, 15, 2137–2147. (d) Cadierno, V.; García-Garrido, S. E.; Gimeno, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 101–110. (e) Bustelo, E.; Jiménez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 2007, 26, 4300–4309. (f) Pino-Chamorro, J. A.; Bustelo, E.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 2009, 28, 1546–1557.

^{(16) (}a) Nagashima, H.; Michino, Y.; Ara, K. I.; Fukahori, T.; Itoh, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 406, 189–196. (b) Müller, J.; Qiao, K.; Siewing, M.; Westphal, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 458, 219–224.

Scheme 4 [Ru]-CI + 8 NH₄PF₆ CH₂Cl₂ Cr Ph₃P-Cn Æ ⊕ kı oxidative [Ru] Path A coupling Pha -PPh₃ P٢ Ъh Ph в С Α **β-elimination** Path B Ср ⊕ æ Ŕı [Ru]= Е D \cap acrolein 10

vinylidene complex **7a**, and the C(1)-C(2) distance of 1.316(4) Å reveals a double bond.

A rational mechanism for the formation of 9 and 10 is proposed in Scheme 4. From the initially formed π -coordinated species A, there are two pathways to form two different products. Pathway A proceeds by coordination of the triple bond followed by dissociation of a PPh₃ ligand accompanied with filling in the vacant site by the allylic group to form **B**. A C-Cbond formation via an oxidative coupling then gave a ruthenacyclopentene intermediate C. Subsequent β -hydrogen elimination giving **D** is followed by successive migration of hydride to afford $9.^{17}$ Alternatively, a cyclization with a C–C bond formation accompanied with a direct 1,3-hydrogen shift also forms 9. Pathway B to yield the alkenylcarbene¹⁸ complex 10 may involve a pericyclic retro-ene cleavage¹⁹ of the vinylidene species **E** formed from the initially π -coordinated species generating 10 and acrolein. In the ¹H NMR spectra of the reaction mixture, three multiplets at δ 9.50, 6.23, and 6.09 attributed to acrolein were observed. It has been shown that thermolysis of propargylic ethers at 350-450 °C leads to allenes and carbonyl compounds by a retro-ene transformation.²⁰ In our case we believe that mediation of a transition metal reduces the activation energy for the metalloretro-ene reaction²¹ thus allows the reaction to occur at lower temperature.

Reactions of Enynes with Methyl Substituents. To prove that the vinylcarbene complex is generated by a retro-ene reaction which is independent of the enyne substrate used, we carried

(21) Oppolzer, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 38-52.



Scheme 5

out the reactions of 1 and 12 with [Ru]Cl in the presence of NH_4PF_6 in EtOH (Scheme 5). As expected, no cycloisomerization to form the η^4 -butadiene complex was observed. The reaction of 1 with [Ru]Cl in EtOH indeed forms the same alkenylcarbene complex 10 as that from the reaction of 8 with [Ru]Cl. Interestingly, the reaction of [Ru]Cl with 1,6-enyne 12, having two methyl groups on the terminal carbon of the tethering allyl group, gave the carbene complex 13, tethering with an unsaturated linear chain, and the other carbene complex 14 with a substituted cyclopentenyl ring in a ratio of 3.5:1. Because complex 13 is insoluble in EtOH, two complexes 13 and 14 are easily separated. Both complexes 13 and 14 are characterized by NMR data and additionally complex 13 is characterized by a single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.

The spectroscopic data of 13, similar to its analog 10, consist of a characteristic doublet of a triplet resonance at δ 15.27 with ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 12.76$ Hz and ${}^{3}J_{\rm PH} = 9.04$ Hz assigned to C α -H and a triplet resonance at δ 7.77 with ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 12.76$ Hz assigned to $C\beta$ -H in the ¹H NMR spectrum. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of 14, the relatively downfield triplet resonance at δ 16.58 with ${}^{3}J_{\rm PH} = 9.04$ Hz is assigned to C α -H, two singlet resonances at δ 1.03 and 0.92 are assigned to the two methyl groups. Single crystals of complex 13 were obtained from acetone/ethanol. An ORTEP type view of the cationic complex is shown in Figure 5 and selected bond distances and angles are collected. The C(1)-C(2) distance of 1.442(6) Å reveals a shorter single bond suggesting a contribution of the zwitterionic resonance form.²² The torsional angle of 172.95° for the skeletal C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4) suggested the trans configuration of C(2)-C(3) double bond.

The above-mentioned reactions of [Ru]Cl with propargylic ether 8, propargylic alcohol 1 and 12 in EtOH via vinylidene intermediate species provide a simple and direct method for preparing ruthenium alkenylcarbene complexes involving a metal-assisted retro-ene reaction. Besides, in the reactions of 1,6-enyne 1-4 and 8, with no methyl group on the olefinic part, formation of analogous complex of 14 was not observed. This inspires us to attempt more experiments and investigate the controlling factor in the substrates used in these reactions.

As shown in Scheme 6, the reaction of 12 with [Ru]Cl in the presence of NH_4PF_6 in CH_2Cl_2 yielded the hydroxylvinylidene complex 5f and the carbene complex 15 with a cyclopentenyl ring in a ratio of 10:1. Besides, when the reaction was carried out in methanol for 1 day, the methoxylvinylidene complex 5g and 16 in a ratio of 4:1 were obtained. Complex 16 was isolated as the only product if the reaction time was prolonged for 4

^{(17) (}a) Mitsudo, T. A.; Zhang, S. W.; Nagao, M.; Watanabe, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 598–599. (b) Trost, B. M.; Indolese, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4361–4362. (c) Trost, B. M.; Tanoury, G. J.; Lautens, M.; Chan, C.; MacPherson, D. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4255–4267. (d) Krafft, M. E.; Wilson, A. M.; Dasse, O. A.; Bonaga, L. V. R.; Cheung, Y. Y.; Fu, Z.; Shao, B.; Scott, I. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5911–5914.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Castarlenas, R.; Eckert, M.; Dixneuf, P. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2576–2579.

^{(19) (}a) Oppolzer, W.; Snieckus, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 476–486. (b) Dubac, J.; Laporterie, A. Chem. Rev. 1987, 87, 319– 334.

 ^{(20) (}a) Viola, A.; Collins, J. J.; Filipp, N. *Tetrahedron* 1981, 37, 3765–3811. (b) Várnai, P.; Keserü, G. M. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 5831–5836.

⁽²²⁾ Esteruelas, M. A.; Liu, F.; Oñate, E.; Sola, E.; Zeier, B. Organometallics **1997**, *16*, 2919–2928.



Figure 5. ORTEP drawing of the cationic complex **13**. For clarity, aryl groups of the triphenylphosphine ligands on Ru except the ipso carbons and PF_6^- are omitted (thermal ellipsoid is set at the 50% probability level). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)-C(1), 1.922(5); C(1)-C(2), 1.442(6); C(2)-C(3), 1.315(7); Ru(1)-C(1)-C(2), 124.7(4); C(1)-C(2)-C(3), 123.5(5).



days in methanol. A series of 2D NMR studies and mass spectroscopy establish the structures of **15** and **16**. In the ¹H NMR spectra, the relatively downfield triplet resonances at δ 15.57 for **15** and δ 16.57 for **16** are assigned to C α -H. The two singlet resonances at δ 4.71 and 4.43 for **15** are assigned to two protons of the terminal olefin and two singlet resonances at δ 1.09 and 0.86 for **16** to two methyl groups.

The lack of carbene products in the reactions of 1-4 and **8** leads us to believe that this cycloisomerization of **12** to form carbene complexes **15** and **16** proceeds through an unusual pathway.^{5d-f} As shown in Scheme 7, the vinylidene complexes **5f** and **5g** could come from **F** with the triple bond π -coordinated to the metal center. In the other competitive electrophilic pathway, the activation of the triple bond of enynes by a metal center generates species with high electrophilicity and triggers the nucleophilic attack of a double bond to the activated triple bond by an *exo*-dig pathway.^{3e,f,4b,d,23} The formation of **15** and **16** may then involve a *5-exo*-dig cyclization of **F** to give the tertiary carbocation **G** stabilized by the presence of two methyl groups, ultimately leading to **15** in CH₂Cl₂ and **16** in



Scheme 7

methanol,^{1c,d,5a,24} respectively. To clarify that the formation of **15** and **16** was not via the allenylidene intermediate, the reaction of *d*-labeled enyne **12**, monodeuterted at the terminal alkyne, was explored. The product **15**-*d*, with the deuterium appeared exclusively at C α , as indicated by the absence of the ¹H resonance at δ 15.56, suggests that the C α -H of **15** is not originated from the terminal methyl group via the allenylidene intermediate. This labeling result confirms that the formation of **15** and **16** is via the π -coordinated species **F**. And the fact that no cyclization was observed for **1**–**4** and **8** strongly suggests the participation of the tertiary carbocationic intermediate **G** in the reaction of **12** with two terminal methyl groups. The formation of the carbene complex **14** described above also conformed with the mechanism in Scheme 7.

Furthermore, dehydration of 5f and methanol elimination of 5g in CHCl₃ at 60 °C both generated 6e and subsequently liberated the allyl group leading to the vinylidene complex 17 in good yield. Comparing with the 1,3-allyl shift and the Cope rearrangement of 5a-c and 5e via allenylidene-ene reaction, further transformation of 5f and 5g each with two methyl groups should proceed by a different pathway. On the basis of literature reports on cyclization reactions of similar enynes, 5c,6b,d the mechanism for the transformation is also proposed as shown in Scheme 7. The vinylidene complexes 5f and 5g first form the allenylidene complex 6e. Then a retro-ene reaction involving the terminal double bond of the allenylidene ligand and the terminal methyl group of the allyl unit results in the conjugated vinylidene complex 17 with elimination of 2-methylbutadiene. Possible reason for 6e to take a different pathway from that of 6a might be the steric effect. In 6e, with two methyl groups, the olefin is away from C β thus fails to undergo a Cope rearrangement. An additional point is that the retro-ene reaction cannot take place for 6a, with no methyl substituent at the olefin portion.

^{(23) (}a) Echavarren, A. M.; Nevado, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 431–436. (b) Añorbe, L.; Domínguez, G.; Pérez-Castelles, J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2004, 10, 4938–4943. (c) Fairlamb, I. J. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1048–1052. (d) Toullec, P. Y.; Genin, E.; Leseurre, L.; Genêt, J.-P.; Michelet, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7427–7430. (e) Lee, S. I.; Chatani, N. Chem. Commun. 2009, 371–384.

^{(24) (}a) Galland, J.-C.; Savignac, M.; Genêt, J.-P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 38, 8695–8697. (b) Méndez, M.; Muñoz, M. P.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11549–11550. (c) Nevado, C.; Charruault, L.; Michelet, V.; Nieto-Oberhuber, C.; Muñoz, M. P.; Méndez, M.; Rager, M.-N.; Genêt, J.-P.; Echavarren, A. M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 706–713.



Scheme 9



Deprotonation of **17** gave the ruthenium acetylide complex **18** as a light yellow powder. Further allylation at C γ with allyl iodide yielded the vinylidene complex **7a** which is the same product as that obtained from the skeletal rearrangement of **6a** (Scheme 8). We also treated the fluorene enyne **19** with [Ru]Cl in the presence of NH₄PF₆ salt resulting in formation of the vinylidene complex **5h**, analogous to **17** obtained from **6e**. By the same principle, deprotonation of complex **5h** yielded the acetylide complex **20** and then alkylation at C γ with allyl iodide yielded the vinylidene complex **7b** which is the same product from the rearrangement of **6b** under thermolytic condition.

Furthermore, the reactions of [Ru]Cl with enynes 21 and 22, with one methyl group added to the internal carbon of the allyl group, afforded the hydroxyvinylidene complexes 5i and 5j, respectively. These complexes undergo an intramolecular cyclization via allenylidene intermediate to form different vinylidene complexes each containing a five-membered ring, see Scheme 9. For example, heating a chloroform solution of 5i to 60 °C afforded the vinylidene complex 23i together with its isomer 24i in a ratio of 5:1, as determined by NMR. Both complexes each with a stereogenic center at $C\gamma$ display two doublet resonances at δ 43.92 and 43.72 with $^2J_{\rm PP} = 25.75$ Hz for **23i** and at δ 43.48 and 42.84 with ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 26.58$ Hz for **24i** in their ³¹P NMR spectra. The mixture of vinylidene complexes 23i and 24i, contaminated with a few unidentifiable side products, was converted to the corresponding acetylide complexes 25i and 26i by deprotonation for removal of the side products. Column chromatography yielded a mixture of yellow powder 25i and 26i. The pure product 25i could be obtained by recrystallization of the mixture in acetone at 0 °C. Repro-



Figure 6. ORTEP drawing of the ruthenium acetylide complex **25**i. For clarity, aryl groups of the triphenylphosphine ligands on Ru except the ipso carbons are omitted (thermal ellipsoid is set at the 50% probability level). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)-C(1), 2.025(2); C(1)-C(2), 1.207(3); C(2)-C(3), 1.521(4); C(3)-C(7), 1.490(5); C(5)-C(6), 1.338(4); C(6)-C(8), 1.451(4); Ru(1)-C(1)-C(2), 175.0(2); C(1)-C(2)-C(3), 169.1(3); C(7)-C(3)-C(4), 104.1(3); C(5)-C(6)-C(7), 109.8(2); C(3)-C(7)-C(6), 106.1(2); C(8)-C(6)-C(7), 123.6(3).

tonation of **25i** at 0 °C yielded the vinylidene complex **23i**. No attempt was made to purify complex **24i** from the mixture. Complex **5j** also shows the same reactivity to yield the corresponding products 23j-26j.

The structures of complexes 23-26 are determined by 2D-NMR, and complex 25i is fully characterized by a single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The ³¹P NMR data exhibit two doublet resonances at δ 51.85 and 51.40 with $^{2}J_{PP} = 37.58$ Hz for **25i** and at δ 51.66 and 51.30 with ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 37.98$ Hz for **26i**. Single crystals of complex 25i were obtained from CH₂Cl₂/ diethyl ether at 5 °C. An ORTEP type view of complex 25i is shown in Figure 6, and selected bond distances and angles are listed. The complex exhibits the usual acetylide structure in a three-legged piano-stool geometry. Obviously a C-C bond formation had occurred between $C\gamma$ and the terminal carbon of the allylic group, forming a five-membered ring. The alkynyl ligand is nearly linear (Ru(1)-C(1)-C(2) angle of $175.0(2)^{\circ}$). The bond lengths of Ru(1)-C(1) of 2.025(2) Å, C(1)-C(2) of 1.207(3) A and C(2)-C(3) of 1.521(4) A show a typical acetylide bond skeletal. The bond length of C(3)-C(7) of 1.490(5) Å attests the C–C bond formation and the separations C(5)-C(6) of 1.338(4) Å and C(6)-C(8) of 1.451(4) Å correspond, respectively, to a double and a single bond.

A pathway for the cyclization reaction is proposed as shown in Scheme 9.^{6a,c} The allenylidene complex acts as an enophile to afford the vinylidene complexes 23 and 24 via the allenylidene—ene reaction. The dehydration of 5 occurs spontaneously to form the allenylidene complex **H**. A C–C bond formation between the alkene moiety of the methyl allyl group and C γ of the allenylidene ligand results in the alkynyl complex **I** bearing a cationic charge at the methyl-substituted tertiary carbon of the five-membered ring. Subsequent 1,5-proton shift gives the final products 23i and 24i. The driving force for this process seems to be the stability of the tertiary carbocationic species **I**, since for the other types of ruthenium vinylidene complexes 5a–e with no methyl group on the allylic group no cyclization reaction was observed. The fact that the enynes 21 and 22 did not form the cyclic carbene via cyclization through a π -coordinated species might be due to the lack of a tertiary carbocation intermediate such as **G** described in Scheme 7. On the other hand, the reason that **12** would not proceed the cyclization reaction described in Scheme 9 might be a result of disfavoring the formation of a vinylidene with a four-member ring.

Esteruelas and his co-workers have reported the stoichiometric Diels–Alder-type addition of dienes to the $C\beta$ - $C\gamma$ double bond of allenylidene complexes to give the corresponding substituted vinylidene complexes.²⁵ Uemura and co-workers described the first example of the use of the $C\beta$ – $C\gamma$ double bond of allenylidene complexes as an enophile in the catalytic process with a diruthenium complex.^{6a} The allenylidene-ene reaction described here is the first example of intramolecular C–C bond forming system by controlling the substituted methyl group of substrates and reaction conditions to lead to allyl migration or cyclization products.

Conclusions

In summary, we report reactions of 1,6-envnes with [Ru]Cl to yield different products by controlling the substituted methyl group of substrates and reaction conditions. The mechanisms via various reaction pathways including skeletal rearrangement, retro-ene cleavage, and cyclization reactions are also proposed. These results can be interpreted by different modes of the coordination of the triple bond of the propargylic alcohol/ether in a π -coordination mode or in the form of vinylidene complex with the oxygen functionality in proximity. For the reactions of enynes 1-3, the interaction between the allylic group and $C\beta$ of the resulting allenylidene ligand causes 1,3-allyl shift and Cope rearrangement simultaneously to yield 7. This allenylidene-ene reaction described here is the first example of intramolecular C-C bond formation via Cope rearrangement in organometallic system. With a propargylic ether group, the reaction of compound 8 gives 10 as the major product via a pericyclic retro-ene cleavage and the butadiene complex 9 by a cyclization reaction as the minor product. The reaction of enyne 1 in ethanol gives 10 as the only product. For enyne 12, a C-Cbond forming cyclization reaction is observed in the intermediate with π -coordinated triple bond giving the carbene complexes 15 and 16 with a substituted cyclopentenyl ring at $C\alpha$ via a tertiary carbocationic species. However, the allenylidene complex 6e derived from the vinylidene intermediate undergoes a retro-ene reaction to bring about cleavage of the dimethyl substituted allyl group giving complex 17. Deprotonation of 17 and further alkylation at $C\gamma$ with allyl iodide yields **7a**. In the reactions of enynes 21 and 22, unobserved allenylidene complexes act as an enophile to afford the vinylidene complexes **23** and **24** each with a five-membered ring bonded at $C\beta$ via the allenylidene-ene reaction.

Experimental Section

Typical experimental procedures and spectroscopic data of the representative products are described. Further experimental details and spectroscopic data of other products are summarized in the Supporting Information.

General Procedures. The manipulations were performed under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen using vacuum-line and standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were dried by standard methods and distilled under nitrogen before use. The ruthenium complex Cp(PPh₃)₂RuCl²⁶ and compounds **1**–**4**, **8**, **12**, **19**, **21**, and **22**²⁷ were prepared by following the method reported in the literature. The C and H analyses were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer 2400 microanalyzer. Mass spectra were recorded using a LCQ Advantage (ESI) and JEOL SX-102A (FAB). X-ray diffraction studies were carried out at the Regional Center of Analytical Instrument at the National Taiwan University. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance-400 and DMX-500 FT-NMR spectrometers at room temperature (unless stated otherwise) and are reported in units of δ with residual protons in the solvents as a standard.

Reactions of 1-4 with [Ru]Cl: Syntheses of 5a-d. A typical experimental procedure for the reaction of [Ru]Cl with enyne is described below. To a Schlenk flask charged with [Ru]Cl (0.20 g, 0.27 mmol) and NH₄PF₆ (0.09 g, 0.55 mmol) was added 1,6-envne 1 (0.84 g, 0.32 mmol) and 30 mL of dichloromethane under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. After that, the solution was filtered through Celite to remove the insoluble precipitates, then the volatiles were removed under vacuum and the solid residue was extracted with a small volume of dichloromethane followed by reprecipitation by a 60 mL of stirred diethyl ether. Precipitates thus formed were collected in a glass frit, washed with diethyl ether, and dried under vacuum. The final product can be obtained as a light-orange powder 5a (0.27) mg, 89%). The syntheses of 5b-j followed the same procedure. Spectroscopic data of **5a**: ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 6.89–7.42 (m, 40H, Ph); 5.45 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂); 5.44 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.15$ Hz, 1H, C γ -H); 5.06 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 17.15$ Hz, 1H, CH=CHH); 4.98 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 4.77$ Hz, 1H, CH=CHH); 4.97 (s, 5H, Cp); 4.45 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.15$ Hz, 1H, C β -H); 3.13 (dd, ² J_{HH} = 14.32 Hz, ³ J_{HH} = 7.35 Hz, 1H, CH*H*); 2.94 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 14.32$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.34$ Hz, 1H, CHH); 1.92 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 8.15$ Hz, 1H, OH). 13 C NMR (δ , CDCl₃, 5 °C): 345.24 (t, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 13.70 \text{ Hz}, \text{ C}\alpha$; 143.80–127.98 (Ph); 133.94 (CH=CH₂); 118.20 (CH=CH₂); 115.70 (Cβ); 94.80 (Cp); 70.07 (Cγ); 55.92 (C); 42.19 (CH₂). ³¹P NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 42.42, 44.35 (2 d, ² J_{pp} = 26.13 Hz). MS (FAB⁺) m/z: 953.3. Anal. Calcd for C₆₀H₅₃F₆OP₃Ru: C, 65.63; H, 4.87. Found: C, 65.31; H, 4.56.

Syntheses of 6. A dilute solution of hydroxyvinylidene complex **5a** (0.10 g, 0.09 mmol) in dichloromethane (0.5 mL) was transferred to an Al₂O₃ (Acidic, activity grade I, height of column ca. 5 cm) chromatography column. Elution with dichloromethane collected a green band. The solution was removed under vacuum, and the solid residue was extracted with a small volume of dichloromethane followed by reprecipitation with 60 mL of stirred hexane. Precipitates thus formed were collected in a glass frit, washed with hexane, and dried under vacuum. The final product was obtained as a deepgreen powder **6a** (0.04 g, 41%). Complexes **6a**–**c** are too active to be purified for mass spectrum, ¹³C NMR and elemental analysis. Spectroscopic data of **6a**: ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 9.08 (s, 1H, C γ –H); 6.95–7.40 (m, 40H, Ph); 5.68 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂); 5.02–5.07 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂); 4.90 (s, 5H, Cp); 3.28 (d,³J_{HH} = 6.86 Hz, 2H, CH₂). ³¹P NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 45.69 (s).

Syntheses of 7. A solution of allenylidene complex 6a (0.11 g, 0.09 mmol) in chloroform (3 mL) was stirred at 60 °C for 6 h under nitrogen. Then the solution was cooled to room temperature and filtered through Celite to remove the insoluble precipitates. Then the volatiles were removed under vacuum and the solid residue was extracted with a small volume of dichloromethane followed by being reprecipitated with 60 mL of stirred diethyl ether. Precipitates thus formed were collected in a glass frit, washed with diethyl ether, and dried under vacuum. The final product was obtained as a pink powder 7a (0.10 g, 89%). An alternative and more straightforward method to prepare compounds 5a involves the treatment of the ruthenium vinylidene complexes 5a in

⁽²⁵⁾ Baya, M.; Buil, M. L.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Lopez, A. M.; Oñate, E.; Rodríguez, J. R. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1841–1848.

⁽²⁶⁾ Bruce, M. I.; Wallis, R. C. Aust. J. Chem. 1979, 32, 1471-1485.

^{(27) (}a) Marco-Contelles, J.; Ruiz-Caro, J.; Mainetti, E.; Devin, P.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M. *Tetrahedron* 2002, *58*, 1147–1158. (b) Lemiére, G.; Gandon, V.; Cariou, K.; Hours, A.; Fukuyama, T.; Dhimane, A. L.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, *131*, 2993–3006.

chloroform and was followed by further reaction at 60 °C for 6 h to generate the dehydration allenylidene complex **6a** and subsequently the allyl migration product **7a**. The purification was the same with the description above. Spectroscopic data of **7a**: ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 6.86–7.70 (m, 40H, Ph); 5.97 (s, 1H, CH=C(Ph)₂); 5.64 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂); 5.07 (d, ³J_{HH} = 10.06 Hz, 1H, CH=CHH); 4.85 (d, ³J_{HH} = 15.53 Hz, 1H, CH=CHH); 4.80 (s, 5H, Cp); 2.70 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.57 Hz, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 354.23 (t, ²J_{CP} = 14.59 Hz, C α); 144.41 (CPh₂); 142.83–128.85 (Ph); 134.76 (CH=CH₂); 125.21 (C β); 117.43 (CH==CH₂); 114.17 (CH); 94.07 (Cp); 29.35 (CH₂). ³¹P NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 41.83 (s). MS (FAB⁺) *m*/*z*: 935.2. Anal. Calcd for C_{60.5}H₅₃F₆O_{0.5}P₃Ru (crystal containing 0.5 equiv of methanol was used for analysis): C, 66.30; H, 4.87. Found: C, 66.12; H, 5.10.

Reactions of 8 with [Ru[Cl: Syntheses of 9, 10, and 11. The experimental procedure of syntheses complexes 9 and 10 was the same with the synthesis of **5a** described above. The mixture **9** and 10 (0.32 g) was treated with excess NaOMe (0.02 g, 0.36 mmol)in methanol (10 mL), and the light-yellow precipitate formed immediately. Stirring was continued until no further solid was formed. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was passed through a neutral Al₂O₃ column eluted with ether/ CH₂Cl₂. Collecting the yellow band and the second light-yellow band solution separately followed by drying under vacuum resulted in the yellow vinyl product 11 (0.22 g, 76%) and light-yellow diene product 9 (0.04 g, 13%). A solution of complex 11 (0.20 g, 0.21 mmol) with NH₄PF₆ (0.09 g, 0.55 mmol) in CHCl₃ was stirred for 3 h at 60 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. After being cooled to room temperature, the solution was filtered through Celite to remove the insoluble precipitates and volume of the filtrate is reduced to 5 mL. The resulting solution was added into a solution of 60 mL vigorously stirred hexane. Brown precipitates thus formed were collected, washed with hexane, and dried under vacuum to afford **10** (0.22 g, 97%). Spectroscopic data of **9**: ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 6.98-7.62 (m, 25H, Ph); 5.67 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂); 5.61 (s, 1H, CHOCH₂); 4.97 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂); 4.69 (s, 5H, Cp); 4.46 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 12.39 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 5.48 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{)}; 4.17 \text{ (d, } {}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 17.75 \text{ Hz},$ 1H, CHH); 4.11 (m, 1H, OCHH); 4.10 (s, 1H, OCHC=CHH); 3.94 (s, 1H, C=CHH); 3.64 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 17.75$ Hz, 1H, CHH); 0.16 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HP}} = 17.03 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, C=CHH); 0.05 \text{ (d, } {}^{3}J_{\text{HP}} = 18.50 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H},$ OCHC=CHH). ¹³C NMR (δ, CDCl₃): 146.00–126.28 (Ph); 134.03 (CH=CH₂); 116.51 (CH==CH₂); 111.42 (OCHC=CH₂); 110.08 (C=CH₂); 90.70 (CHOCH₂); 72.36 (OCH₂); 61.38 (CPh₂); 50.96 (CH₂); 43.41 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 4.65$ Hz, OCHC=CH₂); 42.24 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP} =$ 4.65 Hz, $C=CH_2$). ³¹P NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 57.20 (s). MS ESI *m/z*: 732.2 (M+1)⁺. Anal. Calcd For C₄₇H₄₇F₆O_{1.50}P₂Ru (crystal containing 0.5 equiv of diethyl ether was used for analysis): C, 61.84; H, 5.19. Found: C, 61.98; H, 4.99. Spectroscopic data of 10: ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 15.24 (dt, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 12.95$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 9.10$ Hz, 1H, C α -H); 7.84 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 12.95$ Hz, 1H, C β -H); 7.16–7.81 (m, 41H, Ph and C γ -H); 5.52 (m, 1H, CH = CH₂); 5.21 (d, ³J_{HH} = 17.05 Hz, 1H, CH=CHH); 5.09 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 10.30$ Hz, 1H, CH=CH*H*); 4.90 (s, 5H, Cp); 3.29 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.85$ Hz, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (δ, CDCl₃): 309.29 (t, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 9.18$ Hz, Cα); 156.65 (Cγ); 153.09 (Cβ); 143.76-127.12 (Ph); 133.93 (CH=CH₂); 118.83 (CH=CH₂); 95.00 (Cp); 54.69 (C); 42.73 (CH₂). ³¹P NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 46.97 (s). MS ESI m/z: 938.2 (M+1)⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₆₀H₅₃F₆P₃Ru: C, 66.60; H, 4.94. Found: 66.39; H, 5.10. Spectroscopic data of 11: ¹H NMR (δ , *d*-toluene): 7.82 (m, 1H, C α -H); 6.88–7.76 (m, 40H, Ph); 5.73 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂); 5.45 (dd, ³J_{HH} = 16.50 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ = 8.18 Hz, 1H, C β -H); 4.81 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ = 10.26 Hz, 1H, CH=CH*H*); 4.69 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 17.15$ Hz, 1H, CH=C*H*H); 4.29 (s, 5H, Cp); 4.24 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.18$ Hz, 1H, C γ -H). 3.08 (s, 3H, OMe); 3.05 (m, 1H, C*H*H), 2.89 (m, 1H, C*H*H). ${}^{13}C$ NMR (δ , *d*-toluene, 5 °C): 151.55 (t, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 18.36$ Hz, C α); 147.60–124.64 (Ph); 136.34 (Cβ); 136.24 (CH=CH₂); 117.10 (CH=CH₂); 91.46 (Cγ); 86.68 (Cp); 56.37 (C); 55.46 (OMe); 44.48 (CH₂). ³¹P NMR (δ , *d*-toluene): 49.06, 47.29 (2 d, ${}^{2}J_{pp} = 37.51$ Hz). MS ESI *m/z*: 939.2 (M+1 - OMe)⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₆₁H₅₆OP₂Ru: C, 75.68; H, 5.83. Found: 75.42; H, 5.93. The same procedure was used for the reaction of **19** with [Ru]Cl to synthesize **20**.

Reactions of 12 with [Ru[Cl: Syntheses of 13 and 14. The syntheses of the mixture **13** and **14** followed the standard procedure of synthesis of **5a** in EtOH for 3 days. Extraction of the mixture with EtOH and collected the precipitate to obtain **13**. The filtrates were passed through a neutral Al_2O_3 column eluted CH_2Cl_2 . Collecting the green band followed by drying under vacuum resulted in the product **14**. Spectroscopic data of **13** and **14** are given in Supporting Information.

Syntheses of 5f and 15. The syntheses of the mixture 5f and 15 followed the same procedure of synthesis of 5a in CH₂Cl₂. Extraction of the mixture with benzene and collected the precipitate to obtain **5f**. The filtrates were passed through a neutral Al_2O_3 column eluted CH₂Cl₂. Collecting the green band followed by drying under vacuum resulted in the product 15. Spectroscopic data of 15: Yield: 0.03 g (8%). ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 15.57 (t, ³J_{HP} = 11.20 Hz, 1H, C α -H); 6.83-7.72 (m, 41H, Ph and C = CH); 4.83 (s, 5H, Cp); 4.71 (s, 1H, C=CHH); 4.43 (s, 1H, C = CHH); 3.97 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.90$ Hz, 1H, CH); 3.21 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 14.10$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.90 Hz, 1H, CHH); 2.42 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 14.10 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.90 Hz, 1H, CHH); 1.30 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (δ, CDCl₃): 308.53 (t, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 15.97 \text{ Hz}, C\alpha$; 163.29 (C β); 149.54 (C=CH); 147.57-126.81 (Ph); 144.63 (C=CH₂); 115.12 (C=CH₂); 94.92 (Cp); 62.77 (C); 55.74 (CH); 45.11 (CH₂); 18.30 (CH₃). ³¹P NMR (δ, CDCl₃): 45.61, 45.28 (2 d, ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 25.24$ Hz). MS ESI m/z: 964.2 (M+1)⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₆₂H₅₅F₆P₃Ru: C, 67.20; H, 5.00. Found: C, 67.44; H, 5.21.

Syntheses of 5g and 16. Preparation of the mixture **5g** and **16** was carried out in MeOH and followed the same procedure as the syntheses of **5f** and **15**. Spectroscopic data of **16**: Yield: 0.06 g (18%). ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 16.57 (t, ³*J*_{HP} = 9.90 Hz, 1H, Cα-H); 6.84–7.41 (m, 41H, Ph and *C*=CH); 4.68 (s, 5H, Cp); 3.62 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.40 Hz, 1H, CH); 3.20 (dd, ³*J*_{HH} = 14.15 Hz,³*J*_{HH} = 8.40 Hz, 1H, CH); 2.05 (dd, ³*J*_{HH} = 14.15 Hz,³*J*_{HH} = 8.40, 1H, CHH); 1.09 (s, 3H, CH₃); 0.86 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 318.28 (t, ²*J*_{CP} = 14.28 Hz, Cα); 165.20 (C β); 150.96 (*C*=*C*H); 148.23–126.74 (Ph); 93.61 (Cp); 78.41 (*C*OMe); 61.50 (C); 56.95 (CH); 48.74 (OMe); 43.67 (CH₂); 23.36 (CH₃); 19.15 (CH₃). ³¹P NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 47.75, 47.48 (2 d, ²*J*_{PP} = 28.20 Hz). MS ESI *m/z*: 996.2 (M+1)⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₆₃H₅₉F₆OP₃Ru: C, 66.37; H, 5.22. Found: C, 66.55; H, 5.31.

Syntheses of 6e, 17 and 18. The syntheses of 6e, 17, and 18 followed the same procedure as the syntheses of 6a, 7a, and 11. Complex **6e** is too active to be purified for mass spectrum, ${}^{13}C$ NMR and elemental. Spectroscopic data of 17: Yield: 0.18 g (82%). ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 7.02–7.42 (m, 40H, Ph); 6.45 (d, ³J_{HH} = 10.52 Hz, 1H, C γ -H); 5.54 (dt, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 10.52$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{HP} = 2.38$ Hz, C β -H); 5.19 (s, 5H, Cp). ¹³C NMR (δ , CDCl₃, 5 °C): 361.99 (t, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 15.85 \text{ Hz}, \text{ C}\alpha$; 141.35, (C δ); 138.86–127.30 (Ph); 117.23 (C β); 109.49 (C γ); 95.04 (Cp). ³¹P NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 42.78 (s). MS ESI *m/z*: 896.2 (M+1)⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₅₇H₄₇F₆P₃Ru: C, 65.83; H, 4.56. Found: C, 65.92; H, 4.79. Spectroscopic data of **18**: Yield: 0.16 g (84%). ¹H NMR (δ , C₆D₆): 6.90–8.18 (m, 40H, Ph); 6.81 (s, 1H, C γ -H); 4.43 (s, 5H, Cp). ¹³C NMR (δ , C₆D₆): 144.59 (C γ); 142.41–126.34 (Ph); 125.97 (t, ² $J_{CP} = 24.78$ Hz, C α); 116.38 (C γ); 115.44 (C β) 85.94 (Cp). ³¹P NMR (δ , C₆D₆): 50.95 (s). MS ESI *m/z*: 895.1 (M+1)⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₅₇H₄₆P₂Ru: C, 76.58; H, 5.19. Found: C, 76.74; H, 5.32.

Reactions of 21–22 with [Ru[Cl: Syntheses of 23–26. The syntheses of the crude products **23** and **24** followed the same procedure as the synthesis of **7a**, and the reaction was carried out in CHCl₃ at 60 °C for 30 min. The deprotonation of **23i** and **24i** followed the same procedure as the synthesis of **11** giving **25i** and **26i**, respectively. The pure product **25i** as crystals could be obtained by recrystallization of the mixture in acetone at 0 °C (0.07 g, 80%). No attempt was made to purify complex **26i** from the mixture. A diluted solution of HBF₄·Et₂O (48%, 0.02 mL, 0.11 mmol) in diethyl ether was added dropwise at 0 °C to a stirred solution of

25i (0.10 g, 0.10 mmol) in 20 mL of diethyl ether. Immediately, an insoluble solid precipitated, but the addition was continued until no further solid was formed. The solution was then decanted, and the brown solid was washed with diethyl ether $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and dried in vacuum to yield 23i (0.10 g, 92%). Complex 24i could only be obtained in the mixture by the protonation procedure mentioned above. Spectroscopic data of **23i**: ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 6.87-7.43 (m, 40H, Ph); 5.79 (s, 1H, CH = CMe); 4.87 (s, 5H, Cp); 4.18 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 8.62$ Hz, 1H, C β -H); 4.05 (m, 1H, C γ -H); 2.48 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 15.99$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.89$ Hz, 1H, CHH); 2.09 $(dd,^2 J_{HH} = 15.99 \text{ Hz},^3 J_{HH} = 5.00 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{CH}H); 1.81 (s, 3\text{H}, \text{CH}_3).$ ¹³C NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 346.29 (t, ² $J_{CP} = 15.72$ Hz, C α); 147.46-126.47 (Ph); 139.11 (CMe); 131.01 (CH=CMe); 117.41 $(C\beta)$; 94.48 (Cp); 65.20 (CPh₂); 44.87 (CH₂); 43.14 (C γ); 16.90 (CH₃). ³¹P NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 43.92, 43.72 (2 d, ² $J_{pp} = 25.75$ Hz). MS ESI m/z: 950.2 (M+1)⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₆₁H₅₃BF₄P₂Ru: C₅ 70.73; H, 5.16. Found: C, 70.97; H, 5.42. Spectroscopic data of **24i**: ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃); 6.87 -7.43 (m, 40H, Ph); 5.05 (s, 1H, C=CHH); 4.93 (s, 1H, C = CHH); 5.01 (s, 5H, Cp); 4.11 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.13 Hz, 1H, C β -H); 3.84 (m, 1H, C γ -H); 3.36 (d, $^{2}J_{HH} = 17.04$ Hz, 1H, C(Ph)₂CHH); 2.85 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 17.04$ Hz, 1H, C(Ph)₂CHH); 2.52 (m, 1H, CHH); 2.18 (m, 1H, CHH). ¹³C NMR (δ , CDCl₃): The signal of triplet C α is too weak to be detected. 147.46–126.47 (Ph); 131.79 (*C*=CH₂); 116.35 (*C* β); 107.24 (*C*=CH₂); 94.43 (Cp); 57.94 (CPh₂); 46.46 (C(Ph)₂CH₂) 41.00 (CH₂); 39.73 (*C* γ). ³¹P NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 43.48, 42.84 (2 d, ²J_{pp} = 26.58 Hz). Anal. Calcd for C₆₁H₅₃BF₄P₂Ru: C, 70.73; H, 5.16. No attempt was made to purify complex **24i** from the mixture.

Acknowledgment. This research is supported by the National Science Council and National Center of High-Performance Computing of Taiwan, the Republic of China. We thank the reviewers for suggesting more deuteration experiments leading to better understanding of the mechanism.

Supporting Information Available: More characterization data of ruthenium complexes and complete crystallographic data for **7a**, **9**, **11**, **13**, and **25i**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA906745J